Nanofountain Probe Electroporation Enables Versatile Single-Cell Intracellular Delivery and Investigation of Postpulse Electropore Dynamics


1. Introduction

Intracellular delivery of biomolecules is an essential aspect of biomedical research and its translation to clinical applications. Delivery is required for gene editing,[1,2] the study of disease pathology,[3] and cellular engineering.[4] For example, human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) are employed in studies related to personalized drug development, disease modeling, and tissue and organ transplantation.[5–7] Efficient induction of hiPSCs from somatic cells requires control over the relative concentrations of the reprogramming factors that are delivered to cells.[8] Similarly, the specificity of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-based editing methods depends on appropriate dosage control of Cas9/gRNA ribonucleoprotein (RNP).[4,9] Hence, there is a need for a versatile technology that can deliver precise quantities of bioactive cargo molecules to different cell types.

Introducing exogenous molecules into cells with high efficiency and dosage control is a crucial step in basic research as well as clinical applications. Here, the capability of the nanofountain probe electroporation (NFP-E) system to deliver proteins and plasmids in a variety of continuous and primary cell types with appropriate dosage control is reported. It is shown that the NFP-E can achieve fine control over the relative expression of two cotransfected plasmids. Finally, the dynamics of electropore closure after the pulsing ends with the NFP-E is investigated. Localized electroporation has recently been utilized to demonstrate the converse process of delivery (sampling), in which a small volume of the cytosol is retrieved during electroporation without causing cell lysis. Single-cell temporal sampling confers the benefit of monitoring the same cell over time and can provide valuable insights into the mechanisms underlying processes such as stem cell differentiation and disease progression. NFP-E parameters that maximize the membrane resealing time, which is essential for increasing the sampled volume and in meeting the challenge of monitoring low copy number biomarkers, are identified. Its application in CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing, stem cell reprogramming, and single-cell sampling studies is envisioned.
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of delayed unpacking and excessive toxicity,\textsuperscript{16,17} and viral vectors can cause immune responses and insertional genotoxicity.\textsuperscript{18} Techniques like cell squeezing that involve mechanical perturbation can damage intracellular components including the nucleus, cytoskeleton, and genome.\textsuperscript{19} Methods that rely on mechanical perturbation can also initiate signaling cascades that induce cells to enter a quiescent-like state characterized by temporary cessation of protein synthesis.\textsuperscript{20} Finally, bulk electroporation reduces cell viability due to the high voltages applied and provides low precision over dosage.\textsuperscript{21}

During the last decade, we\textsuperscript{22–24} and others\textsuperscript{25,26} have shown that disadvantages associated with bulk electroporation can be overcome by focusing an electric field on a small region of the plasma membrane. Localized electroporation—owing to the confined electric field—also benefits from strong electrokinetic effects that can confer improved delivery.\textsuperscript{27} More recently, the reverse process (sampling) has been demonstrated, whereby a small volumetric fraction of the cytosol is extracted without incurring cell lysis.\textsuperscript{22,28} Certain methods for analyzing cytosolic material such as RNA sequencing involve a lysis step\textsuperscript{29} and thus provide information only for one time point. Moreover, bulk analyses of cell populations can mask cell heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{30} Therefore, quantitative analysis of cytosolic material sampled from single cells at multiple time points could improve our understanding of disease pathology,\textsuperscript{31} stem cell reprogramming,\textsuperscript{32} and cell differentiation.\textsuperscript{33,34} However, the limited sensitivity of existing assays such as qPCR or mass spectrometry, in combination with the fact that the volume of cytosol sampled is less than 1–7% of the total cell volume, restricts the application of localized electroporation-based sampling to a small number of cells, typically 15–100.\textsuperscript{28}

Localized electroporation-based delivery has been demonstrated in microfabrication formats such as microfabricated chips containing arrays of cantilever probes with embedded microchannels and a sub-micrometer aperture,\textsuperscript{21,15} arrays of single nanochannels,\textsuperscript{25,15} track-etched membranes, and nanostraws.\textsuperscript{28,36} Here, we employ a commercially available NFP-E system based on glass nanopipette tips, in lieu of sub-micrometer probes that would need to be custom-made, to transflect cells using localized electroporation (Figure 1a,b). We highlight the versatility of the NFP-E system by delivering a variety of molecular cargo to commonly employed continuous cell lines and to primary cells that are difficult to transfect. We show that the NFP-E can simultaneously deliver two plasmids in different concentration ratios, resulting in different ratios of coexpression of the proteins. Finally, we study the temporal characteristics of postpulse electropore evolution by utilizing time-lapse fluorescence imaging of intracellular calcine in single cells. We find that the membrane resealing time scales non-linearly with the pulse voltage and the number of electroporation pulses, reaching a maximum at intermediate values. Thus, long pulsing times or extremely high voltages appear not to be necessary for efficient molecular transport across cell membranes, which could enable us to achieve high transport efficiency while keeping cell toxicity to a minimum. This study can serve as a guideline toward the judicious design of pulse profiles in localized electroporation.

2. Results

2.1. Modeling of NFP-E

Electroporation of the cell membrane and subsequent transport of molecules across it are governed by a three-step process: charging of the cell membrane, formation and evolution of electropores, and transport of molecules across the electropores.\textsuperscript{37} The second step depends on the transmembrane potential (the potential difference across the cell membrane).

To compute the transmembrane potential of a cell in the NFP-E system, we calculated the spatial distribution of the electric potential by modeling the cell and the NFP-E nanopipette using COMSOL Multiphysics software. The simulation geometry is diagrammed in Figure 1c. The governing equations and the boundary conditions are

\begin{equation}
\nabla (\sigma \nabla V) + \nabla \varepsilon \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0
\end{equation}

where \(\sigma\) is the conductivity (S m\(^{-1}\)), \(V\) is the potential [V], \(\varepsilon\) is the permittivity of the relevant domain, \(\mathbf{n}\) is the surface unit normal vector, and \(\mathbf{J}\) is the electric flux vector (V m\(^{-1}\)). Parameter values in Table 1. The boundary condition in Equation (2) applies to the nanopipette walls. We modeled the cell membrane in Equation (3) as a thin resistive material with an effective contact impedance

\begin{equation}
\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{d} \left( \sigma + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) V_m
\end{equation}

where \(V_m\) is the transmembrane potential (V) and \(d\) is the thickness of the cell membrane (m).

Based on the contour plots of the potential in Figure 1d–f, a rise (>200 mV) in transmembrane potential is localized only to the region of the cell directly underneath the NFP-E. Hence, we can model the electrical behavior of the cell membrane as a combination of two pairs of resistors and capacitors, where one pair (\(R_c, C_c\)) represents the region of the membrane experiencing a rise in transmembrane potential and the other pair (\(R_g, C_g\)) represents the rest of the membrane.\textsuperscript{21,25} This system can be represented by a lumped model (Figure 1g; values of resistors and capacitors are in Table S1, Supporting Information). \(R_g\) denotes the gap resistance between the probe and the cell membrane which we estimated from multiple resistance measurements (Figure S1a,b, Supporting Information). \(R_c\) and \(C_c\) are the contact resistance and capacitance, respectively, between the electrode and the media. \(R_m\) is the resistance of the fluid in the probe which we derived analytically (Figure S2, Supporting Information). \(R_{cell}\) is the resistance of the cytoplasm.

The lumped model consists of a system of three ordinary differential equations (Equations (4)–(6)) that are obtained by applying voltage and current conservation laws at different nodes of the circuit (Figure 1g). The model input is the applied
far-field voltage ($V$). We solve for the resulting potential difference across the cell membrane

$$AV-BV_1-C(V_2+V_3) = C_1 \frac{dV_1}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$DV-DV_1-E(V_2+V_3) = \frac{V_2}{R_1} = C_1 \frac{dV_2}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$DV-DV_1-E(V_2+V_3) = \frac{V_3}{R_2} = C_2 \frac{dV_3}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In Equations (4)–(6), $V_1$ is the potential drop across the electrode due to its contact impedance, $V_2$ is the potential difference across the cell membrane directly underneath the NFP-E, and $V_3$ is the potential difference across the rest of the cell membrane. Coefficients in the lumped model are in Table S2 (Supporting Information). The distance between the cell’s surface and the nanopipette tip determines the value of the gap resistance ($R_g$); a decrease in the cell-nanopipette-tip distance ($\delta$) increases $R_g$. We computed the transmembrane potential for a range of applied voltages and cell-nanopipette tip-distances ($\delta$) (Figure 1h).
2.2. Delivery of a Large Protein to Continuous and Primary Cells

We examined whether the far-field voltage parameters obtained using the lumped model would yield successful delivery of a large protein to various cell types. Bovine serum albumin (BSA, ≈66.5 kDa) tagged with a fluorescent marker (Alexa Fluor 488) was delivered to continuous cell lines and primary cells. Unlike small molecules such as propidium iodide that can be readily delivered using a variety of electroporation parameters, large molecules like bovine serum albumin tagged with Alexa Fluor 488 (BSA-AF488) require a specific range of pulse parameters for delivery. Owing to its larger size, BSA-AF488 is a useful construct for optimizing conditions that are expected to work for biological cargo such as plasmids. We electroporated HeLa cells on the NFP-E system using a bilevel waveform (15 V peak amplitude and 100 pulses; Figure S3, Supporting Information) and observed delivery of BSA-AF488, as evident from the green fluorescence in targeted cells (Figure 2a and Figure S4, Supporting Information). In a typical experiment, we prescribed a resistance change of ≈1% before applying electroporation pulses. The ground electrode is connected to the nanopipette while the positive reference electrode is submerged in the media containing the cells. This electrode arrangement was chosen to take advantage of electrophoretic effects for the delivery of proteins and plasmids, which are negatively charged in physiological buffers (1× PBS in this case). Although the amount of cargo delivered could be increased by prescribing a greater change of resistance (by reducing the gap between the cell and nanopipette), we chose the aforementioned value to...
minimize pickup of cell membrane elements that could potentially clog the tip. By employing the same voltage parameters, we were able to deliver BSA-AF488 to other continuous cell lines including MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 2b) and HEK 293FT cells (Figure 2c).

Primary cells generally are difficult to transfect using bulk electroporation and require extensive testing of electroporation buffers and voltage parameters. Hence, we evaluated the ability of NFP-E to deliver BSA-AF488 into a panel of primary cells—human embryonic stem cells (HUES 64), differentiating postnatal neural stem cells (PN-NSCs), and fibroblasts—employing the same electroporation parameters as those used for the continuous cell lines. Fluorescence microscopy confirmed the delivery of BSA-AF488 into each cell type (Figure 2d–f). Furthermore, live-dead staining of electroporated HUES 64 and HEK 293FT cells confirmed that they remained viable at 4 h post-electroporation (Figure S5a–c, Supporting Information). The 4 h time point was chosen to check for early onset of apoptosis. Data for cell viability at 15 h post-electroporation are shown in Figure S5d,e (Supporting Information).

Next, we examined whether delivery dosage could be modulated by varying the peak voltage amplitude and/or number of pulses. We used the NFP-E to deliver BSA-AF488 into HeLa cells under different pulse conditions. We employed five different values for the peak voltage amplitude (10, 12, 15, 18, and 20 V) with the number of pulses constant at 100. The fluorescence intensity of the delivered BSA-AF488 was averaged for the five voltage cases (Figure 2g). In agreement with the lumped model predictions, we observed that electroporation occurred for all five voltage values, and the amount delivered increased monotonically with the applied voltage. The molecular influx due to electroporation is composed of two components: an electrophoretic component and a diffusive component. By assuming that the number of electropores created during electroporation depends linearly on the applied voltage, the transported amount has been shown to have a quadratic dependence on the applied voltage. Our experimental data were well-described by a quadratic function (Figure 2g). We also investigated how the delivered amount of an exogenous protein varied with number of electroporation pulses. For these experiments, we used MDA-MB-231 cells and BSA-AF488 (Figure 2h). Signal intensity increased monotonically up to 500 pulses. In addition, we observed substantial blebbing (Figure S6, Supporting Information) in experiments with 500 pulses and 1250 pulses, suggesting that these conditions should be avoided.

2.3. Plasmid Delivery and Ratiometric Expression

Efficient plasmid transfection is important in applications ranging from stem cell reprogramming to gene editing. We investigated the use of NFP-E for transfection using plasmids for expression of EGFP and mCherry. Transfection was achieved with each plasmid in HEK 293FT cells (Figure 3a,b and Figure S7a,b, Supporting Information). The mean transfection efficiency with EGFP plasmid was 70.3% (n = 126 cells) with a standard deviation (SD) of 18.0%, and for mCherry plasmid it was 50.7% (n = 110 cells) with a SD of 13.9%. The HEK 293FT cell line is transformed with an SV40 large T antigen, which drives replication of plasmid vectors containing the SV40 origin of replication. This cell line also has a better transfection efficiency with Lipofectamine than cancerous cell lines (such as HeLa or MDA-MB-231). These attributes make it appropriate for comparison of the transfection efficiencies with the NFP-E and Lipofectamine. All the transfection experiments (NFP-E and Lipofectamine) were carried out in standard culture medium (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium, DMEM). The mean efficiency of EGFP plasmid transfection with Lipofectamine was 22.3% (SD = 11.2%) compared to the 70.3% for NFP-E-mediated transfection (Figure 3e). Here, the efficiency of Lipofectamine-mediated transfection is likely low because the cells were retained in DMEM rather switched to Opti-MEM (as the manufacturer protocol recommends). The choice of maintaining the buffer was to do a one-to-one comparison with the NFP-E. We note that one benefit of NFP-E-based transfection is that specialized transfection media or buffers are not
required to attain a high transfection efficiency. The distribution of the EGFP fluorescence intensity for the cells transfected via NFP-E had a much lower spread relative to that observed in the case of transfection with Lipofectamine (Figure 3f). The SDs of the fluorescence intensities of the NFP-E-transfected and Lipofectamine-transfected cells were 9.98% and 32.5% of their corresponding mean intensity values, respectively. Thus, when compared to Lipofectamine, NFP-E conferred tighter control over plasmid delivery and subsequent transgene expression. Potential contributing factors to the observed difference in spread might include: 1) variation in the number of plasmids per lipoplex is greater than variation in the number of plasmids delivered by NFP-E, and 2) delivery by lipoplex might depend more on certain sources of heterogeneity, such as cell cycle, than does delivery by NFP-E. Plasmid delivery was also attempted in hard to transfect cells like HUES 64 and postnatal neural stem cells (Figure S8, Supporting Information).

Next, we examined whether two plasmids could be delivered at a desired ratio to control their relative expression. We tested three cases: a) cotransfection of 200 ng µL⁻¹ EGFP plasmid and 10 ng µL⁻¹ mCherry plasmid (20:1); b) cotransfection of both at 100 ng µL⁻¹ each (1:1); and c) cotransfection of 10 ng µL⁻¹ EGFP plasmid and 200 ng µL⁻¹ mCherry plasmid (1:20). As expected, compared to the equal concentration base case b (1:1), in case a, the relative fluorescence intensity of EGFP was much higher than that of mCherry (Figure 4a,b), and the converse was true for case c (Figure 4e,f). Figure 4g shows the fluorescence intensities in each channel for transfected cells across the three cases. The average values of the ratio of EGFP and mCherry fluorescence intensities (from multiple cells within each case) are in Figure 4h. We observed significantly higher relative expression of EGFP than mCherry for case a versus b (p < 0.001), and significantly lower relative expression of EGFP for case c versus b (p < 0.01). We also compared the ratiometric delivery of the NFP-E with that of calcium phosphate transfection for the plasmid concentration ratios (Figure S9, Supporting Information); we conclude that NFP-E can be used to codeliver cargo at different ratios, and that one may need to prepare a higher plasmid concentration ratio to achieve the intended protein expression ratio.

Figure 4. Ratiometric delivery of EGFP plasmid and mCherry plasmid using NFP-E. Representative fluorescence microscopy images showing the expression of a,c,e) EGFP and b,d,e) mCherry in HEK 293FT cells corresponding to 20:1, 1:1, and 1:20 EGFP-to-mCherry plasmid concentration ratios. Scale bar: 20 µm. g) EGFP and mCherry fluorescence intensities (in distinct arbitrary units) for the three different plasmid concentration ratios. Each data point represents a transfected cell. h) Relative fluorescence intensity ratios of EGFP and mCherry for the three cases a (20:1), b (1:1), and c (1:20). Each condition includes more than ten cell measurements. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01.

2.4. Dynamics of Postpulse Molecular Transport during Sampling

To understand the dynamics of disease mechanisms and differentiation, intracellular contents might need to be assayed across time points with single-cell resolution; this is often done by lysing parallel cell cultures. However, lysis-based methods preclude the analysis of the same cells over time, which could be important in addressing single-cell heterogeneity. Recent reports have demonstrated viable extraction of
cellular contents from a small population of cells via electroporation by exploiting the transient permeability of the cell membrane.\[22,24,28\] However, little knowledge exists on the effective design of voltage pulses for electroporation-based sampling. This gap in knowledge could be overcome in part by examining the dynamics of postpulse molecular transport and the time-scales involved in this process. It would be useful to provide an estimate of the membrane resealing time post-electroporation, and thus potentially aid in optimizing the extraction of intracellular contents. This information is particularly relevant for the design of voltage profiles for implementing multiple time-point sampling from the same cells without compromising cell function. The process of electropore creation is commonly assumed to involve nucleation of hydrophilic toroidal pores in the plasma membrane. The electropores nucleate and evolve during the pulse and then start to close postpulse application. The nucleation, evolution, and disappearance of these toroidal electropores depend nonlinearly on the transmembrane potential and are governed by the Smoluchowski advection-diffusion equation.\[41\] Based on prior modeling analysis, it is known that the postpulse distribution of such electropores is initially centered on a radius of ≈1 nm for millisecond pulses, and it subsequently diffuses toward a critical pore radius of 0.65 nm, which is the minimum radius allowable for a hydrophilic pore.\[42,43\] We reasoned that as calcein's hydrodynamic radius (0.74 nm)\[44\] is less than the average hydrophilic nanopore radius (1 nm) and close to the critical pore radius, calcein would be a well-suited test case for probing the dynamics of postpulse transport.

First, we examined whether the NFP-E system with standard electroporation parameters would allow the sampling of calcein as well as an exogenous protein. Figure 5a1 and Figure S10a,c (Supporting Information) show PN-NSCs that were subjected to a differentiation protocol and stained with calcein-AM before electroporation pulses were applied. Figure 5a2 and Figure S10b,d (Supporting Information) show lower fluorescence intensity in the same cells due to electroporation-mediated removal of calcein. The change in fluorescence intensity of the electroporated cells was averaged, and the normalized data are presented in Figure 5c. To confirm that the reduction in intensity was due to electroporation and not to artifacts such as photobleaching, the change in fluorescence intensity of several non-electroporated control cells was determined. The reduction in fluorescence intensity of electroporated cells was significantly different (p < 0.001) than that of control cells. We also engineered an MDA-MB-231 cell line to constitutively express tdTomato under a CMV promoter and subjected these cells to the same protocol (Figure 5b1,2). The normalized change in fluorescence intensity of tdTomato for the electroporated cells is presented along with that for a control population in Figure 5d. The data show a significant change (p < 0.05) in the intensity of the electroporated cells.
compared to that of the control group. However, the intensity changes here are less discernible when compared to the case of calcein. tdTomato (54.2 kDa) is a much larger molecule than calcein (0.6 kDa) and hence by the Stoke–Einstein’s relation for diffusivity, tdTomato would have a much lower diffusion coefficient than calcein. This difference could potentially explain the much lower outflow of tdTomato when compared to that of calcein.

Next, we briefly describe the protocol involved in a typical time-lapse imaging experiment. HeLa cells were stained with calcein-AM, and electroporation pulses were then applied. We varied either the peak voltage or the number of pulses in these experiments, while keeping the frequency and duration of each individual pulse constant at 50 Hz and 3 ms, respectively. The pulse generator in the NFP-E system has the flexibility to synchronize with other data acquisition modules: a 5 V TTL signal is sent to the sCMOS camera (Andor Zyla) which triggers a time-lapse image acquisition session in μManager.\(^{[45]}\)

The decay of calcein fluorescence intensity over time in electroporated cells was obtained from the images using a custom MATLAB script. Figure 6a,b shows the time traces of mean (\(n = 3\)) fluorescence intensities corresponding to the cases of electroporation with different number of pulses and pulse voltages, respectively.

To model the experimental observations, we assumed the postpulse transport of calcein across the cell membrane to be purely diffusive. This assumption is valid as the timescale of the observation (\(\approx 30\) s) is much longer than the maximum total pulsing duration (\(\approx 0.225\) s). With these assumptions and by utilizing Fick’s law of diffusion, we show (Supporting Information) that the intracellular concentration over time can be expressed as indicated next (see also Wang et al.\(^{[46]}\) for a similar analysis)

\[
c(t) = e^{\frac{-c_i t}{\tau}}
\]

(7)

where \(c_i\) is the initial concentration (a.u.), \(\tau\) [s] is the time constant for membrane resealing, and \(\lambda\) [s\(^{-1}\)] is a parameter that is proportional to the initial permeabilized fractional area of the membrane (Supporting Information). We assumed that the fluorescence intensity of calcein scales linearly with its concentration, as previously reported.\(^{[47]}\) Using Equation (7) we also arrived at a quantitative estimate of the fraction of calcein sampled (Supporting Information)

\[
\frac{c(t) - c_i}{c_i} = 1 - e^{-\lambda t} = 1 - e^{-K}
\]

(8)

We fitted the model in Equation (7) to the experimental data (Figure 6a,b). We investigated the dependence of \(\tau\), \(\lambda\), and \(K\) on pulse voltage and number of pulses (Figure 6c–h). We note that the time constant (\(\tau\)) does not scale linearly with either the pulse voltage or number of pulses (Figure 6c,d); it reaches a maximum for intermediate values of pulse parameters. A nonlinear trend is also observed for the parameter \(\lambda\) (Figure 6e,f). The time constant for membrane resealing (\(\tau\)) is an estimate of the rate at which the membrane permeability reduces over time after pulse application. Therefore, to maximize the sampled volume, \(\tau\) needs to be increased so that pores remain open for a sufficient period of time. Moreover, since \(\lambda\) is a measure of the initial fraction of permeabilized area, a higher \(\lambda\) would also contribute toward more sampling. As expected, we observe that \(K\) which is a logarithmic measure of the loss of calcein (Supporting Information) is high for the cases that have high values of both \(\tau\) and \(\lambda\) (Figure 6c–h).
3. Discussion

The lumped model for electroporation presented here predicts that for a range of applied voltages the transmembrane potential aligns with the well-accepted threshold (0.2–1 V) for successful electroporation and cargo delivery.\[20,48\] Indeed, we observed delivery of a variety of molecular cargo in experiments with these far-field voltages (Figures 2 and 3). Since the lumped model substantially decreases the computational time, it might enable the modeling of electroporation-based experiments, which are usually on the several-second timescale.

For protein and plasmid delivery experiments, the same pulsation protocol was effective across different cell types, which speaks to the generality of the method. The direct delivery of protein complexes is advantageous in situations where other strategies such as viral vectors or plasmid DNA can lead to cytotoxicity, off-target effects, or low control over protein expression.\[49,50\] Gene-editing studies employing CRISPR/Cas9 systems\[51,52\] induction of pluripotency for tissue engineering\[53\] and stimulation of immune cells for therapeutic purposes are avenues where direct protein delivery using the NFP-E might prove beneficial. We have also shown that the NFP-E approach can attain relatively high transfection efficiency in normal cell culture medium without requiring a media change. This may be convenient for some applications because growing cells in low serum media (which is recommended for transfection by lipofection) can decrease cell viability and protein expression.\[54\]

Recent studies indicate that ratiometric control of Cas9 and sgRNA is important in CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing.\[55\] Hence, establishing a universal transfection method that exploits delivery mechanisms in which dosage control can be achieved, at the single-cell level, is a high priority. Previous studies have shown that the stoichiometry of the genetic factors is an important variable in stem cell reprogramming. For instance, the induction efficiency of iPSCs from somatic cells is sensitive to the dosage of Oct4, and higher expression of Oct4 and Klf4, relative to Sox2 and c-Myc, correlates with greater reprogramming efficiency.\[56\] Furthermore, the relative concentration of reprogramming factors affects not only induction efficiency but can also result in chromatin modifications.\[57\] The cotransfection experiments reported here with the NFP-E highlight its utility for investigating stoichiometries that could be useful for stem cell engineering.

From the temporal studies of electroporation-mediated loss of calcein, we can conclude that the postpulse transport of molecules across the cell membrane depends on two parameters: \( \tau \) and \( \lambda \), where \( \tau \) is the rate of membrane resealing and \( \lambda \) is a measure of the initial fraction of the permeabilized area. The maximum transport of calcein molecules across the cell membrane occurs at intermediate values of the pulse parameters. A similar trend was also demonstrated previously,\[22,24,57\] where the maximum transfection efficiency of a plasmid occurred for an intermediate value of the pulse voltage amplitude in multiple cell types.

Several advances have been made in improving the sensitivity of bioanalytical assays at the single-cell level (digital PCR, RNA-Seq, etc.), but many of these analyses involve cell lysis and are thus limited to a single time point. Methods that overcome this limitation often depend on measuring a limited subset of secreted markers or on building a pseudo-time profile by lysing different cells at different times. A contrasting approach to temporal analysis is to extract a minute portion of the cytosol using localized electroporation and to assay the retrieved biomarkers.\[22,28\] Such an approach provides the potential to monitor a single cell over time and to improve our understanding of cell behaviors. Here, the electroporation-mediated loss of calcein and tdTomato with the NFP-E provides a proof of concept for extracting small molecules and cytosolic proteins. Moreover, the nanopipette of the NFP-E can be tuned to collect intracellular samples, which could then be used in downstream analyses like RNA-Seq. For example, electrowetting within the nanopipette can be used to extract cellular material from live cells in culture, and such samples may be analyzed by sequencing.\[58\] We emphasize that the mechanism of the NFP-E is distinct from other probe-based sampling methods.\[58–61\] The latter methods rely on microinjection and consequently puncture a large areal fraction of the cell membrane, whereas localized electroporation with the NFP-E perturbs only a miniscule portion of the membrane underneath the probe tip. A thorough analysis, however, of transcriptomic and chromatin changes induced by different delivery and sampling methods is still needed.\[24\]

A major impediment to single-cell temporal sampling is the trace amount of cytosol extracted that makes downstream assays challenging. For perspective, in microinjection-based sampling methods,\[58,59\] the extraction efficiency was \(~70–85\%\) for an exogenous mRNA in HeLa cells, with even lower values for housekeeping genes such as \( \beta \)-actin. The problem compounds in the case of biologically relevant mRNA that have lower copy numbers, e.g., neural stem cells have less than 20 copies of the differentiation-relevant mRNA tyrosine hydroxylase, per cell\[62\]—an order of magnitude less than typical housekeeping mRNAs. Thus, there is a need to understand the transport mechanisms involved in localized electroporation-based sampling, with the goal of finding ways to increase the sampled amount without adversely affecting the cell. The results presented here on the scaling of membrane resealing time as function of various electroporation parameters begin to address this need. To overcome throughput limitations with the serial nature of the NFP-E, future efforts will be focused on automating the process of cell detection with image processing and machine learning methods An additional goal would be to extend NFP-E-based delivery to suspended cells. Cell trapping methods could be used in conjunction with the NFP-E to facilitate delivery into suspended cells.\[63\] Alternatively, cells in suspension can be centrifuged so that they settle down and do not tremble, thus enabling contact between the nanopipette and cell membrane required for the NFP-E methodology.\[57\]

4. Conclusion

In summary, we demonstrate a versatile method for delivering large proteins and plasmids in various cell types with fine control over dosage. Further, we investigated the dynamics of molecular transport after the pulsing and established scaling laws for the membrane resealing time with respect to the pulse voltage and the number of pulses. In a previous report, we
showed that coupling the model for predicting transmembrane potential with the Smoluchowski equation (which governs the nucleation, diffusion, and destruction of pores) provided qualitative insights into the observed experimental trends.[22] Hence, combining the Smoluchowski equation-based pore evolution model with the lumped model might explain the basis of the scaling laws and could be investigated in future studies. We anticipate that integration of low-loss sample retrieval methods such as electrowetting[58] or oil-droplet based[59] within the nanopipette of the NFP-E should allow downstream assaying with existing methods (RT-PCR, ELISA, etc.).

5. Experimental Section

Cell Culture of MDA-MB-231, HEK 293FT, and HeLa Cells: Cell lines were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were grown in an incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2 and passed every 3–5 d upon reaching 80–90% confluency using 0.25% trypsin. Reagents were purchased from Life Technologies and used per the manufacturer-provided specifications. Experiments were performed on cells that had been passaged fewer than ten times.

Human Fibroblasts: Primary dermal fibroblasts were procured from ATCC (PCS-201-012) and cultured using fibroblast basal medium supplemented with a low-serum growth kit (ATCC PCS-201-041). Cells were passaged upon reaching confluency using 0.05% trypsin-EDTA and trypsin inhibitor solution (Life Technologies). Experiments were performed on cells that had been passaged fewer than ten times.

Postnatal Murine Neurospheres: All procedures involving animals were approved in advance by the Northwestern University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. NSCs were isolated from the subventricular zone in postnatal day 1 mice and cultured as neurospheres in DMEM:F12 medium supplemented with B27, N2, and EGF (20 ng mL−1). In electroporation experiments, NSCs were plated in 35 mm petri dishes using medium with lower EGFP concentration (0.5 ng mL−1). To promote adhesion, petri dishes were precoated with poly-d-lysine (50 µg mL−1) overnight. Cells were allowed to adhere for at least 24 h prior to electroporation. All experiments were performed on cells that had been passaged (using 0.05% trypsin) at most three times.

Human Embryonic Stem Cells (HUES 64): HUES 64 cells were cultured in Essential 8 Medium (basal medium and supplements, Thermo Fisher Scientific) on Matrigel-coated six-well plates (Corning). The medium was replaced every 24 h. Cells were passaged by dissociating in 0.5 × 10−3 M EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS every 4–5 d before reaching full confluency. ROCK inhibitor (Tocris Y-27632) was added at a final concentration of 10 × 10−6 M to the medium after passaging. Cells were transferred to ROCK inhibitor-free medium after 24 h.

General Protocol for Electroporation Using the NFP-E: Infinitesimal’s point-click-transfect NFP-E system was employed in all experiments. Glass nanopipette tips (Eppendorf) with an inside diameter of 500 nm (Figure 1b) were employed in the transfection experiments. Nanopipette tips were first loaded with the desired solution using a microloader (Eppendorf) and subsequently mounted on Infinitesimal’s NFP-E system with XYZ motion control achieved via three linear piezo actuators (closed loop, resolution < 10 nm). Infinitesimal’s software and electronic circuit were used to measure the resistance across the nanopipette tip (150 Hz sampling rate) and to automatically apply bieval electroporation pulses. The movement of the nanopipette tip was observed under an inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse) coupled to a CCD camera (Andor Zyla). When the resistance between the tip and the cell increased by ≈1%, bieval electroporation pulses (15 V 0.5 ms, 10 V 2.5 ms typical; Figure S2, Supporting Information) were applied at a frequency of 50 Hz. Each train consisted of 50 such bieval pulses, and typically one or two trains were used in the delivery experiments.

Delivery of BSA: BSA tagged to Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies) was resuspended in 1× PBS and used in electroporation experiments at a final concentration of 2.5 mg mL−1.

Ratiometric Delivery of Plasmids in HEK 293FT Cells: The two plasmids pEGFP and pmCherry (each ≈4.7 kb) contain a pcDNA plasmid backbone of ≈4 kb with an SV40 origin of replication, a neomycin/kanamycin resistance gene, and an insert of ≈0.7 kb that encodes a fluorescent reporter gene (EGFP and mCherry, respectively) driven by a CMV promoter. Plasmids were stored at 4 °C at a concentration of 1 µg mL−1 and diluted in 1× PBS to the desired concentration (0.1–200 ng mL−1) before electroporation.

Plasmid Delivery Using Lipofectamine 2000: Cells were plated in a 24-well plate and allowed to reach a confluency of 70–90% before transfection with Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 50 µL of serum-free DMEM was combined with varying volumes (2–5 µL) of Lipofectamine and 0.5 µg of plasmid (in 25 µL DMEM) to identify conditions for maximal transfection efficiency. 50 µL of this cocktail was added to cell culture. Cells were incubated for 48 h, after which fluorescent protein expression was examined by fluorescence microscopy.

Calcine Sampling in Differentiating PN-NSCs: PN-NSCs were subjected to differentiation conditions for at least 5 d leading up to experiments. Calcine-AM (1 mg mL−1, Life Technologies) was diluted 100-fold in 1× PBS, and cells were stained for 10 min at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Cells were washed twice with 1× PBS, and sampling experiments were performed immediately with the NFP-E.

dTomato Sampling in MDA-MB-231 Cells: MDA-MB-231 cells (<10 passages) were plated in a petri dish and incubated for at least a day, by which time they had adhered. Cells were washed twice with 1× PBS, and sampling experiments were performed immediately with the NFP-E.

Image Analysis: To compute the normalized change in fluorescence intensity in calcine and tdTomato sampling experiments, the open source software Fiji (version 2.0.0) was utilized. Briefly, a region of interest (ROI) was first drawn around the central region of the cell of interest; then the measurement tool was used to obtain the mean fluorescence intensity of the pixels within the ROI. The size of the ROI was kept constant across the images analyzed. For time-lapse experiments, the stack file consisting of all of the frames acquired during the experiment was first saved as individual image sequences using ImageJ. The individual frames were then processed in MATLAB 2016. The first image of the sequence was used to create a mask encompassing all of the pixels in the area of interest, which was either a cell exposed to localized electroporation or a control cell. The process of creating the mask involved converting the raw greyscale image into a binary image by applying the method of adaptive thresholding. Then, a series of erosions and dilations were applied to the first binary image in order to reflect the ROI as accurately as possible. The binary image obtained after this processing step was used as the mask used for all further calculations. In the mask, all pixels in the ROI had intensity = 1 while the other pixels had intensity = 0. The time-lapse data (Figure 6a,b) represent the mean fluorescence intensity of the ROI in all of the frames in a stack. This value was obtained by calculating the mean fluorescence intensity of the nonzero pixels in the mask for all of the frames in the stack. For every case, the final values of intensity were obtained after background subtraction.

Modeling of the NFP-E: COMSOL Multiphysics was used to compute the transmembrane potential in the NFP-E system. Finite element simulations were performed using the Electrical Currents module, and the system of ODEs in the lumped model was solved using the Global ODEs and DAE Interfaces module.

Calcium Phosphate Transfection: HEK 293FT cells were plated in 24-well plates (1.5 × 104 cells in 0.5 mL DMEM per well) and transfected after having adhered to the plates. Plasmids were mixed together in defined amounts, CaCl2 (2 M, 15% v/v) was added, and the solution was pipetted dropwise into an equal volume of 2× HEPES-buffered saline (500 × 10−3 M HEPES, 280 × 10−3 M NaCl, 1.5 × 10−3 M Na2HPO4). This solution was pipetted gently four times, and 3 min later it was pipetted vigorously 20 times and added dropwise onto cells (0.1 mL per well). A consistent total mass of DNA per well was maintained by including in the transfection mix an “empty vector” (pcDNA backbone) that does
not encode a fluorescent protein. A mixture of 200 ng of ECFP and 10 ng of mCherry was used for the 20:1 plasmid concentration ratio case, 100 ng of both was used for the 1:1 case and 10 ng of ECFP and 200 ng of mCherry were used for the 1:20 case. At 1 d after plating, the medium was aspirated and replaced with fresh medium.

Flow Cytometry and Data Analysis: Samples were prepared for flow cytometry 2 d after transfection. Medium was aspirated, several drops of PBS were added and then aspirated, and two drops of trypsin-EDTA (Gibco) were added. Cells were incubated (37 °C, 5 min), plates were tapped to detach cells, and several drops of DMEM were added to the PE-Texas Red channel (552 nm excitation laser, 600LP 610/20 nm filter) for mCherry. >10^4 live single-cell events were measured per sample. Data were analyzed using FlowJo software to gate on single-cell (FSC-A vs SSC-A) and live-cell (FSC-A vs SSC-A) bases. Compensation was performed using compensation control samples. The mean fluorescent signal was obtained for each sample. The mean and the standard error of the mean for the three biological replicates were calculated.

Statistical Analysis: Statistical comparisons were performed using two-tailed Student’s t-tests.
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Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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